

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,747	04/13/2004	Robert G. Sanders	4021-0126PUS2	4591
2292 759	2292 7590 12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW	ART KOLASCH & B	KURTZ, BENJAMIN M		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	<del></del>
		DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/822,747	SANDERS, ROBERT G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin Kurtz	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,— , ,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 19-24 is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r cleation requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth et al. US 5 403 453. Roth teaches a method of making a filter media comprising a web of thermoplastic fibers, wherein the thermoplastic fibers are surface modified by treatment with a gaseous plasma at atmospheric pressure, the gaseous plasma consists essentially of air and helium or argon (abstract, col. 2, lines 34-41, col. 4, lines 13-17). Regarding claims 4, 7 and 10, the gaseous plasma is a He/air mixture (claim 4); the gaseous plasma is an Ar/air mixture (claim 7); and the thermoplastic fibers are made from polyolefin, polyester, or polyamide (claim 10) (col. 2, lines 1-2).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, 11-15, and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth '453 in view of Jones et al. US 6 953 544. Regarding claims 2-3, Roth teaches the claimed method but does not teach the thermoplastic

Art Unit: 1723

fibers are electrostatically charged by a corona discharge method. Jones teaches thermoplastic fibers electrostatically charged by a corona discharge method (col. 5, lines 16-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fibers of Jones with the method as taught by Roth because the corona discharge creates an electret web which improves the filtration properties of the web (col. 1, lines 17-20).

Regarding claims 5-6 and 8-9, Roth teaches the gaseous plasma is a He/air or an Ar/air mixture (claims 4 and 6).

Regarding claims 11-15 and 19-24, Jones further teaches the thermoplastic fibers are made from polypropylene, polycarbonates, polyesters and combinations thereof (col. 4, lines 11-19); the web is a fibrous layer of melt extruded fibers, staple fibers and combinations thereof (col. 4, lines 11-19).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Kurtz whose telephone number is 571-272-8211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,747

Art Unit: 1723

Bk 11/29/06

SUPERVISORY AMINE
TECHNOLOGY CLASSES TO U

Page 5